

<b>Meeting:</b>	<b>Licensing sub-committee</b>
<b>Meeting date:</b>	<b>Wednesday 30 May 2018</b>
<b>Title of report:</b>	<b>Review of a premise licence in respect of Ruby Chinese Takeaway, 23 Union Street, Hereford. HR1 2BT– Licensing Act 2003</b>
<b>Report by:</b>	<b>Licensing technical officer</b>

## **Classification**

### **Open**

Report and Appendices 1 to 3.

### **Exempt**

Appendix 4 is exempt by virtue of paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Access to Information Procedure Rules set out in the constitution pursuant to Schedule 12A Local Government Act 1972, as amended.

## **Key Decision**

This is not an executive decision.

## **Wards Affected**

Central

## **Purpose**

To consider an application for a review of a premise licence in respect of Ruby Chinese Takeaway, 23 Union Street, Hereford. HR1 2BT called by Police Sergeant 3456 Reynolds of West Mercia Police

## **Recommendation**

**THAT:**

**The sub-committee determine the application with a view to promoting the licensing objectives in the overall interests of the local community. They should give appropriate weight to:**

- **the steps that are appropriate to promote the licensing objectives,**
- **the representations (including supporting information) presented by all parties,**
- **the guidance issued to local authorities under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003, and**
- **the Herefordshire Council Statement of Licensing Policy 2015 - 2020.**

## Options

1. There are a number of option open to the committee in relation to the review:
  - The modification of the conditions of the premises licence;
  - The exclusion of any licensable activities from the scope of the licence;
  - The removal of the designated premises supervisor from the licence;
  - The suspension of the licence for a period not exceeding 3 months; and
  - The revocation of the licence
2. Where the authority takes a step mentioned in bullet point 1 and 2 above it may provide that the modification or exclusion is to have effect for only such period (not exceeding three months) as it may specify.
3. Alternatively it is open to the licensing authority to determine that no action is required to promote the licensing objectives or issue an informal warning in writing to the licence holder and/or to recommend improvement within a specified period of time.

## Reasons for Recommendations

4. Ensures compliance with the Licensing Act 2003.

## Key Considerations

5. The licensing authority must take into account any relevant representations made. Relevant representations are those that:
  - relate to one or more of the licensing objectives;
  - have not been withdrawn; and
  - are made by the premises licence holder, a responsible authority or an interested party
6. The details of the application are:

Applicant	Police Sergeant 3456 Reynolds of West Mercia Police	
Solicitor	Not applicable	
Type of application: <b>Review</b>	Date received: 18 April 2018	28 Days consultation ended 15 May 2018

7. The application for the review is attached (appendix 1)
8. Copies of the application were sent to the premise licence holder and responsible authorities.
9. In brief West Mercia Police's grounds for the review are:

The premises were subject to a visit from multiple agencies and four (4) persons were found to be working at the premises were in the UK with no right to stay or work.

### Premises History

10. The premises was first licensed in February 2006 as a Chinese takeaway for late night refreshment. Since it has been licensed, there have been no changes.

### Current Licence

11. The current licence (appendix 2) authorises the following licensable activities during the hours shown:

#### Late Night Refreshment:

Monday – Wednesday; 23:00- 00:00

Thursday – Sunday; 23:00- 01:30

Non Standard Hours: None

12. The licence is also subject to a number of conditions.

### Circumstances leading to the review

13. As part of a pre-planned operation a joint Multi Agency Targeted Enforcement (MATE) took place on Saturday 07 April 2018.
14. At 1910 hrs West Mercia Police in partnership with officers from the UK Border and Immigration Agency, Hereford & Worcester Fire Service, Herefordshire Environmental Health (Food) and Herefordshire Council Houses of Multi-Occupancy attended the Ruby Chinese Takeaway.
15. The premises were open to the public. Four members of staff working in the kitchens were detained as individuals who had no right to be in the UK and no right to work in the UK. All those detained are Chinese nationals (Three males and one female) The employment of illegal immigrants is a serious criminal offence and undermines the licensing objective of the prevention of crime and disorder.
16. As a result, this review was launched.

## **Summary of Representations**

17. No accepted representations have been received from other responsible authorities or members of the public.
18. One comment was received from Hereford Town Council (Appendix 3).
19. A statement from the UK Border Agency in connection with the enforcement visit is attached at Appendix 4

## **Community Impact**

20. Any decision is unlikely to have any impact on the local community.

## **Equality duty**

21. There are no equality issues in relation to the content of this report.

22. This report has human rights implications for both the premises licence holder and the residents from the local neighbourhood. Any of the steps outlined in section 1 of this report may have financial implications for a licensee's business and livelihood and/or may have impact upon the day to day lives of residents living in close proximity to the premises.
23. Article 8(i) of the European Convention of Human Rights provides that everyone has the right to respect for his/her private and family life and his/her home (which includes business premises). This right may be interfered with by the council on a number of grounds including the protection of rights and freedoms of others. The First Protocol – Article 1 – also provides that every person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions and shall not be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and conditions provided for by law. Members must accordingly make a decision which is proportionate to the hearing and endeavour to find a balance between the rights of the applicant, residents and the community as a whole.

## **Financial implications**

24. There are unlikely to be any financial implications for the council at this time.

## **Legal Implications**

25. The licensing authority must have regard to the promotion of the four licensing objectives namely; the prevention of crime and disorder; public safety; the prevention of public nuisance; and the protection of children from harm in exercising its functions under the Licensing Act 2003. Further regard should be had to the statutory guidance under Section 182 of the Act and the Council's own statement of licensing policy. The options available to the licensing authority are set out in section 1 of this report.
26. The Committee should be aware of a number of stated cases which have appeared before the Administrative Court and are binding on the Licensing Authority.
27. The case of Daniel Thwaites Plc v Wirral Borough Magistrates' Court (Case No: CO/5533/2006) at the High Court of Justice Queen's Bench Division Administrative Court on 6 May 2008, [2008] EWHC 838 (Admin), 2008 WL 1968943, Before the Honourable Mrs Justice Black.
28. In this case it was summed up that: -  
  
A licensing authority must have regard to guidance issued by the Secretary of State under section 182. Licensing authorities may depart from it if they have reason to do so but will need to give full reasons for their actions.
29. Furthermore the Thwaites case established that only conditions should be attached to a licence with a view to promoting the Licensing objectives and that 'real evidence' must be presented to support the reason for imposing these conditions.
30. This judgement is further supported in the case of The Queen on the Application of Bristol Council v Bristol Magistrates' Court, CO/6920/2008 High Court of Justice Queen's Bench Division The Administrative Court, 24 February 2009, [2009] EWHC 625 (Admin) 2009 WL 648859 in which it was said:
31. 'Licensing authorities should only impose conditions which are necessary and proportionate for the promotion for licensing objectives'

32. In addition to this it was stated that any condition attached to the licence should be an enforceable condition.

## **Right of Appeal**

33. Schedule 5 gives a right of appeal which states:

An appeal may be made within 21 days to the Magistrates Court by the police or any person who has made a relevant representation and also by the premises licence holder once notified of the licensing authority's decision.

34. The decision of the licensing authority, following the review hearing, will not have effect until the end of the period allowed for appeal, or until the appeal is disposed of.

## **Risk Management**

35. There is little risk associated with the decision at this time as the legislation allows a right of appeal to the Magistrates Court within a period of 21 days of being notified of the decision in writing.

## **Consultees**

36. All responsible authorities and members of the public living within Herefordshire.

## **Appendices**

- Appendix 1 Review Application Form  
Appendix 2 Current Premise Licence  
Appendix 3 Hereford Town Council Comment  
Appendix 4 Exempt Statement from UK Border Agency

## **Background Papers**

None.